

2/13/26

Return quizzes

Collect HW

Summary from last time. (Tie together things we've talked about.)

Suppose $T: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is def by an $n \times m$ matrix A

$$\text{Say } \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{and } a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

then linearity says

$$T(a\vec{v} + b\vec{w}) = aT(\vec{v}) + bT(\vec{w})$$

This is reflected in Matrix Algebra properties:

$$A \left(a \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_m \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix} \right) = a A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_m \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix}$$

(distributive property and scalar mult.)

§ 2.4 Inverses

we've talked about the reverse of a lin. transf. (if it exists):

if $T: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is invertible then there exists a lin transf.

$$T^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (T^{-1} \circ T)(\vec{v}) &= T^{-1}(T(\vec{v})) = \vec{v} \\ (T \circ T^{-1})(\vec{v}) &= T(T^{-1}(\vec{v})) = \vec{v} \end{aligned} \right\} \forall \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

i.e. $T \circ T^{-1}$ and $T^{-1} \circ T$ are both the identity!

Same statement in terms of matrices: Say $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is invertible.

If $T(\vec{v}) = A\vec{v}$ then $T^{-1}(\vec{v}) = A^{-1}\vec{v}$ where

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I_n$$

If A^{-1} exists, A is invertible.

Questions

1. How do you tell if a given A is invertible?
2. If it is, how do you find A^{-1} ?

We've started talking about this already, so quick review first.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Let $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\text{let } \underset{(n \times n)}{A} \underset{(n \times 1)}{\vec{x}} = \underset{(n \times 1)}{\vec{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

If A^{-1} exists then $A^{-1}(A\vec{x}) = A^{-1}\vec{y}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{"} \\ I_n \vec{x} \\ \text{"} \\ \vec{x} \end{array}$$

I.e. we want to solve for $\vec{x}$ in terms of $\vec{y}$.

$$\text{Say } A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ correspond to the lin. system

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = y_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = y_n$$

(*)

We now reduce. Our goal is to get

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (\text{something in } y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &\vdots \\ x_n &= (\text{ " " " }) \quad (\text{if possible}) \end{aligned}$$

I.e. when we row reduce we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 0x_2 + \dots + 0x_n &= (\text{something in } y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ 0x_1 + x_2 + \dots + 0x_n &= \text{ " " " } \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + \dots + x_n = \text{ " " " }$$

Theorem 2.4.3
Answers question #1

So A is invertible iff $\text{RREF}(A) = I_n$
equivalently A is invertible iff $\text{rank}(A) = n$.

Ex Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Is A invertible? If so, find A^{-1} .

We have

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 = y_1 = y_1 + 0y_2 + 0y_3$$

$$3x_1 + 5x_2 + 6x_3 = y_2 = 0y_1 + y_2 + 0y_3$$

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 = y_3 = 0y_1 + 0y_2 + y_3$$

But we know the variables are "place-holders" and all we need are the coefficients.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 2 & -5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -9 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

check

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -9 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$\{$
 $\text{RREF}(A) = I_3$

they should check reverse order

$\therefore \text{rk } A = 3$

If $\text{RREF}(A)$ had been $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ A would not be invertible.

Why do we care about A^{-1} ? Assume A is square, say $n \times n$.

Note 1 if A is invertible then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution:

$$A^{-1}(A\vec{x}) = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

"
 $\vec{x}$

Note 2 The linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ always has a soln, whether A is invertible or not, namely $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, \dots, x_n = 0$. The issue is whether this is the unique solution. This holds iff A is invertible

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \iff \vec{x} = A^{-1}A\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{0} = \vec{0}$$